T. LOUIS PALAZZO, ESQUIRE
Nevada Bar No. 4128
PALAZZO LAW FIRM
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
520 South Fourth Street, Second Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Tele: 702/385-3850
Fax: 702/385-3855

Defendant.

## Attorney for Defendant

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

CASE NO.: 2:15-cr-00037-KJD-GWF

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING ON
MOTION TO DISMISS [27] AND MOTION
FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER [34]

(First Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through SARAH E. GRISWOLD, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, and Defendant, MARC BRATTIN, by and through his counsel, T. LOUIS PALAZZO, ESQ., of PALAZZO LAW FIRM, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION, that the hearing for Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Vindictive Prosecution, Prosecutorial Misconduct, and Double Jeopardy Violation [27] and Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order [34] currently scheduled on November 17, 2015, be vacated and continued to December 10, 2015. This Stipulation is entered into based upon the following:

- 1. Defendant Brattin's Motion to Dismiss was originally scheduled to be heard on October 27, 2015.
- 2. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but, in part, resulted from a request on October 21, 2015, by Magistrate Judge Foley requiring additional time to prepare for said hearing.
- 3. Counsel for Defendant Brattin has a scheduling conflict on the newly scheduled hearing date of November 17, 2015, and was directed to file a stipulation with Plaintiff to continue the hearing to a date mutually convenient to the parties, to wit: December 10, 2015.



## 

1	4.	4. Additionally, denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice.		
2	5.	This is the first request for continuance of the subject hearing date.		
3	6.	For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a		
4	continuance of the hearing date.			
5				
6	DATED this the 28 <sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015.			
7	/s/ T. Louis Palazzo /s/ Sarah E. Griswold			
8		ALAZZO, ESQ. SARAH E. GRISWOLD, AUSA		
9	520 South F Las Vegas, I	ourth Street, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Nevada 89101 Attorney for Plaintiff		
10	Attorney for Defendant			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO.: 2:15-cr-00037-KJD-GWF		
4	Plaintiff,			
5	VS.	-[PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS [27]		
6	MARC BRATTIN,	AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER [34]		
7	Defendant.			
8				
9				
10	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL:			
11	This matter having come before the Court and good cause having been shown:			
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing on Motion to Dismiss [27] and Motion for			
13	Protective Order [34] in this matter is continued to the 10th day of December, 2015, at the hour			
14	of 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom 3D.			
15	DATED this 3rd day of November, 2015.			
16				
17		Heorge Foliagh UNITED STATES MACOSTRATE JUDGE		
18		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
19	Submitted by:			
20	PALAZZO LAW FIRM			
21	A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION	N		
22				
23	/s/ T. Louis Palazzo			
24	T. LOUIS PALAZZO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4128			
25	520 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
26	Attorney for Defendant			
27				
28				

**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE** The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 28th day of October, 2015, I served an electronic copy of the above STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS [27] AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER [34] (First Request) to all parties of record, via CM/ECF. /s/ Celina Hardy An Employee of Palazzo Law Firm, PC